# **Acronyms and Abbreviations**

ALPI	Aleutian Low Pressure Index	kW	Kilowatt
BA	Biological Assessment	m	Meter
BIA	Bureau of Indian Affairs	M&I	Municipal and Industrial
BLM	Bureau of Land Management	mm	Millimeter
BNF	Boise National Forest	NAWQA	National Water Quality Assessment
BPA	Bonneville Power Administration	NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
BRT	Biological Review Team	NFRC	North Fork Reservoir Company
BRWG	Biological Requirements Work Group	NOAA Fisheries	National Marine Fisheries Service
CBFWA	Columbia Basin Fish and Wildlife	NPCC	Northwest Power and Conservation
	Authority		Council (also NPPC)
cfs	Cubic feet per second	NTU	Nephelometer Turbidity Unit
cm	Centimeter	ODEQ	Oregon Department of Environmental
CR	Conservation Recommendation		Quality
CRITFC	Columbia River Inter-Tribal Fish	ODFW	Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife
	Commission	O&M	Operations and Maintenance
CWA	Clean Water Act	PBERP	Pacific Bald Eagle Recovery Plan
DDT	Dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane	PCB	Polychlorinated biphenyl
DO	Dissolved Oxygen	PCI	Pacific Circulation Index
DPS	Distinct Population Segment	PFMC	Pacific Fishery Management Council
EA	Environmental Assessment	PDO	Pacific Decadal Oscillation
EBSM	Ecologically Based System Management	Reclamation	U.S. Bureau of Reclamation
EFH	Essential Fish Habitat	RM	River Mile
ENSO	El Niño-Southern Oscillation	RMP	Resource Management Plan
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency	RPM	Reasonable and Prudent Measure
ESA	Endangered Species Act	Services	USFWS and NOAA Fisheries
ESPA	Eastern Snake Plain Aquifer	SST	Sea-surface Temperature
ESU	Evolutionarily Significant Unit	T&C	Terms and Conditions
FCRPS	Federal Columbia River Power System	TDG	Total Dissolved Gas
FERC	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission	TFCC	Twin Falls Canal Company
FONSI	Finding of No Significant Impact	TMDL	Total Maximum Daily Load
FPC	Fish Passage Center	TSS	Total Suspended Solids
FR	Federal Register	USACE	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
GYA	Greater Yellowstone Area	USBR	U.S. Bureau of Reclamation
GYBEWG	Greater Yellowstone Bald Eagle Working	USDOC	U.S. Department of Commerce
	Group	USFS	U.S. Forest Service
HUC	Hydrologic Unit Code	USFWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
IAC	Idaho Administrative Code	USGS	U.S. Geological Survey
ICBTRT	Interior Columbia Basin Technical	VSP	Viable Salmonid Population
	Recovery Team	WDFW	Washington Department of Fish and
IDEQ	Idaho Department of Environmental		Wildlife
	Quality	WGFD	Wyoming Game and Fish Department
IDFG	Idaho Department of Fish and Game	WLCTRT	Willamette Lower Columbia Technical
IDHW	Idaho Department of Health and Welfare		Review Team
IDWR	Idaho Department of Water Resources	WOC	Washington-Oregon-California
IWRB	Idaho Water Resource Board		6
IWRRI	Idaho Water Resources Research Institute		
km	Kilometer		

## Federal storage facilities included in the proposed actions.

Storage Facility <sup>1</sup>	Stream and River Mile	Active Capacity <sup>2</sup> (acre-feet)	Powerplant Owner	Operating and Maintaining Entity
Minidoka Project				
Jackson Lake Dam	Snake River 988.9	847,000	No powerplant	Reclamation
Grassy Lake Dam	Grassy Creek 0.5	15,200	No powerplant	Fremont-Madison Irrigation District
Island Park Dam	Henry Fork 91.7	135,205	Non-Federal	Fremont-Madison Irrigation District
American Falls Dam	Snake River 714.0	1,672,590	Non-Federal	Reclamation
Minidoka Dam	Snake River 674.5	95,200	Reclamation	Reclamation
Palisades Project				
Palisades Dam	Snake River 901.6	1,200,000	Reclamation	Reclamation
Ririe Project				
Ririe Dam	Willow Creek 20.5	80,541	No powerplant	Reclamation
Little Wood River Pro	ject			
Little Wood River Dam <sup>3</sup>	Little Wood River 78.8	30,000	Non-Federal	Little Wood River Irrigation District
Owyhee Project				
Owyhee Dam	Owyhee River 28.5	715,000	Non-Federal	Owyhee Irrigation District
<b>Boise Project</b>				
Anderson Ranch Dam	S.F. Boise River 43.5	413,074	Reclamation	Reclamation
Arrowrock Dam	Boise River 75.4	272,224	No powerplant	Reclamation
Hubbard Dam	New York Canal	1,177	No powerplant	Boise Project Board of Control
Deer Flat Dams	New York Canal	159,365	No powerplant	Boise Project Board of Control
Deadwood Dam	Deadwood River 18.0	153,992	No powerplant	Reclamation
Cascade Dam	N.F. Payette River 38.6	646,461	Non-Federal	Reclamation
Lucky Peak Project				
Lucky Peak Dam 4	Boise River 64.0	264,371	Non-Federal	Army Corps of Engineers
Vale Project				
Warm Springs Dam 5	Malheur River 114.0	169,714	No powerplant	Warmsprings Irrigation District
Agency Valley Dam	N.F. Malheur River 15.0	59,212	No powerplant	Vale Oregon Irrigation District
Bully Creek Dam	Bully Creek 12.5	23,676	No powerplant	Vale Oregon Irrigation District
Mann Creek Project				
Mann Creek Dam	Mann Creek 13.2	10,900	No powerplant	Mann Creek Irrigation District
<b>Burnt River Project</b>				
Unity Dam	Burnt River 63.6	24,970	No powerplant	Burnt River Irrigation District
Baker Project				
Mason Dam	Powder River 122.0	90,540	No powerplant	Baker Valley Irrigation District
Thief Valley Dam	Powder River 70.0	13,307	No powerplant	Lower Powder River Irrigation District

- 1 Reclamation owns all facilities unless otherwise indicated.
- 2 Active capacity is the volume of storage space that can be filled and released for specific purposes.
- 3 The Little Wood River Irrigation District owns the Little Wood River Dam.
- 4 The Army Corps of Engineers owns Lucky Peak Dam; Reclamation administers water service and repayment contracts for irrigation.
- 5 Reclamation has a one-half interest in Warm Springs Reservoir and associated storage.

## Federal diversion facilities included in the proposed actions.

Diversion Facility	Stream	Owner	Operating and Maintaining Entity
Minidoka Project			
Cascade Creek Diversion Dam	Cascade Creek	United States	Fremont-Madison Irrigation District
Minidoka Northside Headworks	Snake River	United States	Minidoka Irrigation District
Minidoka Southside Headworks	Snake River	United States	Burley Irrigation District
Unit A Pumping Plant	Snake River	United States	A & B Irrigation District
Milner-Gooding Canal Headworks	Snake River	United States	American Falls Reservoir District No. 2
Michaud Flats Project			
Falls Irrigation Pumping Plant	Snake River	United States	Falls Irrigation District
Owyhee Project		1	
Tunnel No. 1	Owyhee River	United States	Owyhee Irrigation District
Dead Ox Pumping Plant	Snake River	United States	Owyhee Irrigation District
Ontario-Nyssa Pumping Plant	Snake River	United States	Ontario-Nyssa and Owyhee Irrigation Districts
Gem Pumping Plants #1 and #2	Snake River	United States	Gem Irrigation District
Boise Project			
Boise River Diversion Dam	Boise River	United States	Boise Project Board of Control <sup>1</sup>
Black Canyon Diversion Dam	Payette River	United States	Reclamation
Vale Project			
Harper Diversion Dam	Malheur River	United States	Vale Oregon Irrigation District
Bully Creek Diversion Dam	Bully Creek	United States	Vale Oregon Irrigation District
Mann Creek Project			
Mann Creek Dam Outlet	Mann Creek	United States	Mann Creek Irrigation District
Baker Project	•	•	
Savely Dam and Lilley Pumping Plant	Powder River	United States	Lower Powder River Irrigation District

<sup>1</sup> The Boise Project Board of Control operates and maintains the dam. Reclamation operates and maintains the powerplant.

## Federal powerplants included in the proposed actions.

Powerplant	Stream	Impoundment	Nameplate Rating
Palisades Powerplant	Snake River	Palisades Dam	176,600 kW
Inman and Minidoka Powerplants	Snake River	Minidoka Dam	28,500 kW
Anderson Ranch Powerplant	South Fork Boise River	Anderson Ranch Dam	40,000 kW
Boise River Diversion Powerplant	Boise River	Boise River Diversion Dam	1,500 kW
Black Canyon Powerplant	Payette River	Black Canyon Diversion Dam	8,000 kW

# RECLAMATION Managing Water in the West

Biological Assessment for Bureau of Reclamation Operations and Maintenance in the Snake River Basin Above Brownlee Reservoir

**Baker Project** 

**Boise Project** 

**Burnt River Project** 

Little Wood River Project

Lucky Peak Project

Mann Creek Project

Michaud Flats Project

Minidoka Project

Owyhee Project

Palisades Project

Ririe Project

Vale Project



U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Reclamation Pacific Northwest Region Snake River Area

November 2004

The mission of the Department of the Interior is to protect and provide access to our Nation's natural and cultural heritage and honor our trust responsibilities to tribes.

The mission of the Bureau of Reclamation is to manage, develop, and protect water and related resources in an environmentally and economically sound manner in the interest of the American public.

## **How to Read This Document**

To read this biological assessment more effectively, carefully study this page. We have designed and written this biological assessment to:

- Document analysis of the effects of the proposed actions on Endangered Species Act listed species and designated critical habitat.
- Request concurrence for "not likely to adversely affect" conclusions.
- Request formal consultation for "likely to adversely affect" conclusions.
- Present the effects on essential fish habitat (EFH) as required under the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act.

This **introductory section** contains a list of acronyms and abbreviations, the frontispiece, and the table of contents. Each chapter contains its own list of **literature cited**.

**Part I** contains information relevant to both the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) and the National Marine Fisheries Service (NOAA Fisheries).

**Chapter 1** provides the preliminary information and background on this ESA Section 7 consultation that is helpful in reading the rest of the document.

**Chapter 2** describes the proposed actions and action areas.

**Chapter 3** contains an overview of the upper Snake River basin, a description of past hydrologic conditions, and a description of the model Reclamation used to simulate hydrologic conditions of the 11 proposed actions.

**Part II** contains the chapters relevant to only the USFWS.

**Chapters 4 through 8** provide information and analysis on aquatic snails, bald eagle, bull trout, gray wolf, and Ute ladies'-tresses.

**Part III** contains the chapters relevant to only NOAA Fisheries.

**Chapter 9** provides information and analysis on listed salmon and steelhead Evolutionarily Significant Units (ESUs).

**Chapter 10** provides information and analysis on essential fish habitat for the salmon and steelhead ESUs.

**Part IV** contains the biological assessment's **appendices**.

November 2004 – Final

ii Final – November 2004

# **Table of Contents**

Page

## Part I Introduction and the Proposed Actions

Chapter 1	Overviev	v	1
1.1	Purpos	e of the Biological Assessment	1
1.2	Propos	ed Actions	2
1.3	Action	Areas	3
1.4	Basis fo	or "May Affect" Determinations	5
	1.4.1	Characterizing Effects from the Implementation of Future O&M Activities	
	1.4.2	Subsequent Steps in the Consultation Process	7
1.5	Summa	ary of Species Effects	8
	1.5.1	Species within the Jurisdiction of the USFWS	8
	1.5.2	Species within the Jurisdiction of NOAA Fisheries	8
1.6	Literati	ure Cited	9
Chapter 2	Descript	ion of the Proposed Actions	11
2.1	Introdu	ction	11
2.2	Duratio	on of Proposed Actions	12
2.3	Limitat	ions on Reclamation's Discretion	13
	2.3.1	Project Authorizations	13
	2.3.2	State Water Law and Water Rights	14
	2.3.3	Contracts	14
	2.3.4	Tribal Interests	15
2.4	Future	O&M in the Snake River System above Milner Dam	15
	2.4.1	Proposed Action	15
	2.4.2	Action Area	16
2.5	Future	Operations in the Little Wood River System	17
	2.5.1	Proposed Action	17
	2.5.2	Action Area	17
2.6	Future	O&M in the Owyhee River System	18
	2.6.1	Proposed Action	18
	2.6.2	Action Area	19

November 2004 – Final iii

	2.7	E4 C		age
	2.7		0&M in the Boise River System	
		2.7.1	Proposed Action	
		2.7.2	Action Area	
	2.8	Future C	0&M in the Payette River System	
		2.8.1	Proposed Action	20
		2.8.2	Action Area	21
	2.9	Future C	0&M in the Malheur River System	21
		2.9.1	Proposed Action	21
		2.9.2	Action Area	22
	2.10	Future C	0&M in Mann Creek System	23
		2.10.1	Proposed Action	23
		2.10.2	Action Area	23
	2.11	Future C	0&M in the Burnt River System	24
		2.11.1	Proposed Action	24
		2.11.2	Action Area	24
	2.12	Future C	0&M in the Upper Powder River System	25
		2.12.1	Proposed Action	25
		2.12.2	Action Area	25
	2.13	Future C	0&M in the Lower Powder River System	26
		2.13.1	Proposed Action	26
		2.13.2	Action Area	26
	2.14	Future P	rovision of Salmon Flow Augmentation from Rental or	
		Acquisit	ion of Natural Flow Rights	26
		2.14.1	Proposed Action	26
		2.14.2	Action Area	27
	2.15	Literatur	re Cited	27
Chapter	· 3 H	Iydrolog	ic Conditions	29
_	3.1	Past Hyo	drologic Conditions	29
		3.1.1	Overview of the Upper Snake River Basin	29
		3.1.2	Overview of Past Reservoir Hydrologic Operations	
		3.1.3	Hydrologic Changes	
	3.2		Hydrologic Conditions Analysis	
	-· <b>-</b>	3.2.1	Modeling Current Operations and the Proposed Actions	
		J.2.1	Troubling Current Operations and the Proposed Methons	Э Г

iv Final – November 2004

		I	Page
	3.2.2	General River and Reservoir Modeled Results for the Proposed Actions	37
	3.2.3	Salmon Flow Augmentation Model Results	
	3.2.4	Modeled Flows at Lower Granite and McNary Dams	
3.3		ffects in the Lower Snake River at Brownlee Reservoir	
3.3	3.3.1	Modeled Analysis	
	3.3.2	Summary of Previous Studies	
3.4		are Cited	
Эт	Literati	are cited	50
Part II Cha	pters fo	or the USFWS	
Chapter 4	Aquatic	Snails	55
4.1	Status		55
	4.1.1	Previous Consultations	55
4.2	Distrib	ution	56
	4.2.1	Historical Distribution	56
	4.2.2	Current Distribution	57
4.3	Life Hi	story	60
4.4	Habitat	Requirements	61
4.5	Factors	Contributing to Species Decline	62
	4.5.1	Dams and Water Operations	62
	4.5.2	Water Quality	63
	4.5.3	New Zealand Mudsnail	64
4.6	Current	t Conditions in the Action Areas	64
	4.6.1	Dams and Water Operations	64
	4.6.2	Pumps and Diversions	78
	4.6.3	Water Quality	78
	4.6.4	New Zealand Mudsnail	85
	4.6.5	Urbanization	86
4.7	Effects	Analysis	86
	4.7.1	Snake River and the Henrys Fork above American Falls Reservoir	
	4.7.2	Snake River from American Falls Reservoir to above Lake Walcott	90
	4.7.3	Snake River from Lake Walcott to Milner Dam	

November 2004 – Final

			Page
	4.7.4	Snake River from Milner Dam to Shoshone Falls	97
	4.7.5	Snake River from Shoshone Falls to above Brownlee	
		Reservoir	
	4.7.6	Cumulative Effects	102
4.8	Effects	Conclusion	104
	4.8.1	Future O&M in the Snake River System above Milne Dam	
	4.8.2	Combined Effects of Seven Proposed Actions in the	
		Snake River above Brownlee Reservoir	105
4.9	Literatu	re Cited	105
Chapter 5	Bald Eag	le	111
5.1	Status		111
5.2	Distribu	ition	111
	5.2.1	Historical Distribution	111
	5.2.2	Current Distribution	111
5.3	Life His	story	112
5.4	Habitat	Requirements	112
	5.4.1	Nesting Habitat	112
	5.4.2	Wintering Habitat	113
	5.4.3	Foraging Habitat	113
5.5	Factors	Contributing to Species Decline	113
5.6	Recover	ry Efforts	114
5.7	Current	Conditions in the Action Areas	115
	5.7.1	Snake River above Milner Dam	117
	5.7.2	Snake River from Milner Dam to Brownlee Reservoir	:122
	5.7.3	Snake River from Brownlee Reservoir to the Columbia River and the Columbia River to its Mouth	
	5.7.4	Little Wood River Reservoir	124
	5.7.5	Boise River System	124
	5.7.6	Payette River System	128
	5.7.7	Owyhee River System	
	5.7.8	Mann Creek Reservoir	
	5.7.9	Malheur River System	133
	5.7.10	Powder and Burnt River Systems	

vi Final – November 2004

	<b>-</b> 0			Page
	5.8		Analysis	
		5.8.1	Snake River System above Milner Dam	
		5.8.2	Snake River from Milner Dam to Brownlee Res	
		5.8.3	Snake River from Brownlee Reservoir to the Co River and the Columbia River to its Mouth	
		5.8.4	Little Wood River System	138
		5.8.5	Boise River System	
		5.8.6	Payette River System	
		5.8.7	Owyhee River System	
		5.8.8	Mann Creek System	
		5.8.9	Malheur River System	144
		5.8.10	Powder and Burnt River Systems	145
		5.8.11	Cumulative Effects	
	5.9	Effects	Conclusion	146
	5.10	Literatu	re Cited	147
Chapte	r6 I	Bull Trou	ıt	153
	6.1	Status		153
		6.1.1	Previous Biological Opinions and Ongoing Implementation Activities	154
	6.2	Distribu	ition	159
		6.2.1	Historical Distribution	159
		6.2.2	Current Distribution	160
	6.3	Life His	story	168
	6.4	Habitat	Requirements	170
	6.5	Factors	Contributing to Species Decline	170
		6.5.1	Passage Barriers and Stream Diversions	171
		6.5.2	Forest Management Practices	172
		6.5.3	Livestock Grazing	172
		6.5.4	Transportation Networks	172
		6.5.5	Mining	172
		6.5.6	Residential Development and Urbanization	173
		6.5.7	Fisheries Management	173
	6.6	Recover	ry Efforts	174

November 2004 – Final vii

				Page
	6.7		Conditions in the Action Areas	
		6.7.1	Boise River Basin	176
		6.7.2	Payette River Basin	184
		6.7.3	Malheur River Basin	188
		6.7.4	Powder River Basin	196
		6.7.5	Snake River from Brownlee Reservoir to the Columbia River and the Columbia River below the Snake River Confluence	
	6.8	Effects 2	Analysis	
		6.8.1	Boise River Basin	
		6.8.2	Payette River Basin	205
		6.8.3	Malheur River Basin	
		6.8.4	Powder River Basin	210
		6.8.5	Snake River from Brownlee Reservoir to the Columbia River and the Columbia River below the Snake River Confluence	
		6.8.6	Cumulative Effects	
	6.9		Conclusion	
	0.7	6.9.1	Future O&M in the Boise River System	
		6.9.2	Future O&M in the Payette River System	
		6.9.3	Future O&M in the Malheur River System	
		6.9.4	Combined Effects of all Proposed Actions in the Snake	
		0.9.4	River from Brownlee Reservoir to the Columbia River and in the Columbia River below the Snake River Confluence	
	6.10	Literatu	re Cited	
	0.10	Littiatu	Te Cited	217
Chapter	7	Gray Wo	lf	223
	7.1	Overvie	w	223
	7.2	Effects (	Conclusion	223
Chapter	8	Ute Ladie	es'-tresses	225
	8.1	Status		225
	8.2	Distribu	tion	225
	8.3	Life His	tory	226
	8.4	Habitat	Requirements	226

viii Final – November 2004

	0.5	Esstana	Contributing to Species Dealing	Page
	8.5		Contributing to Species Decline	
	8.6		ry Efforts	
	8.7		Conditions in the Action Area	
		8.7.1	Snake River below Palisades Dam	
	0.0	8.7.2	Henrys Fork	
	8.8		Analysis	
		8.8.1	Snake River below Palisades Dam	
		8.8.2	Henrys Fork	
		8.8.3	Cumulative Effects	
	8.9		Conclusion	
	8.10	Literatu	re Cited	239
Part II	I Cha	apters f	or NOAA Fisheries	
Chapter	.9 8	Salmon a	and Steelhead	245
	9.1	Backgr	ound	245
		9.1.1	Listed Salmon and Steelhead in the Action Areas	245
		9.1.2	Listed Species in the Action Areas	246
		9.1.3	Present Hydrologic Condition	247
		9.1.4	Water Quality Conditions in the Action Areas	248
		9.1.5	Climate and Ocean Conditions	252
		9.1.6	Components of Viable Salmonid Populations	254
	9.2	Snake I	River Spring/summer Chinook Salmon	254
		9.2.1	Background	254
		9.2.2	Critical Habitat	256
		9.2.3	Current Conditions in the Action Areas	257
	9.3	Snake I	River Fall Chinook Salmon	262
		9.3.1	Background	262
		9.3.2	Critical Habitat	264
		9.3.3	Current Conditions in the Action Areas	265
	9.4	Snake I	River Sockeye Salmon	272
		9.4.1	Background	272
		9.4.2	Critical Habitat	273
		9.4.3	Current Conditions in the Action Areas	273

November 2004 – Final ix

9.5	Snake F	River Basin Steelhead	<i>Page</i> 275
<b>7.</b> 6	9.5.1	Background	
	9.5.2	Life History	
	9.5.3	Habitat Requirements	
	9.5.4	Current Conditions in the Action Areas	
9.6	Upper (	Columbia River Spring Chinook Salmon	
	9.6.1	Background	
	9.6.2	Current Conditions in the Action Areas	
9.7	Lower	Columbia River Chinook Salmon	283
	9.7.1	Background	283
	9.7.2	Current Conditions in the Action Areas	284
9.8	Upper V	Willamette River Chinook Salmon	285
	9.8.1	Background	285
	9.8.2	Current Conditions in the Action Areas	286
9.9	Upper (	Columbia River Steelhead	286
	9.9.1	Background	286
	9.9.2	Current Conditions in the Action Areas	288
9.10	Middle	Columbia River Steelhead	289
	9.10.1	Background	289
	9.10.2	Current Conditions in the Action Areas	290
9.11	Lower	Columbia River Steelhead	291
	9.11.1	Background	291
	9.11.2	Current Conditions in the Action Areas	293
9.12	Upper V	Willamette River Steelhead	293
	9.12.1	Background	293
	9.12.2	Current Conditions in the Action Areas	294
9.13	Lower 6	Columbia River Chum Salmon	295
	9.13.1	Background	295
	9.13.2	Current Conditions in the Action Areas	296
9.14	Lower	Columbia River Coho Salmon	300
9.15	Effects	Analysis	300
	9.15.1	Streamflows and Flow Augmentation	301
	9.15.2	Water Quality	305
	9.15.3	Cumulative Effects	308

x Final – November 2004

			Page
	9.15.4	Analysis of Effects on Listed ESUs in the Snake Riv	er308
	9.15.5	Analysis of Effects on Listed ESUs in the Columbia	21.5
	- <b>-</b>	River	
9.1		Conclusion Summary	
9.1	7 Literatui	re Cited	325
Chapter 10	<b>Essential</b>	Fish Habitat	335
10.	1 Backgro	und	335
10.	2 Proposed	d Actions	336
10	3 Action A	Areas	336
10.4	4 Status, L	ife History, Habitat Requirements and Effects	
	Analysis		341
	10.4.1	Snake River Fall Chinook Salmon	341
	10.4.2	Snake River Spring/summer Chinook Salmon	344
	10.4.3	Upper Columbia River Spring Chinook Salmon	345
	10.4.4	Middle Columbia River Spring Chinook Salmon	347
	10.4.5	Upper Columbia River Summer/fall Chinook Salmon	1348
	10.4.6	Deschutes River Summer/fall Chinook Salmon	349
	10.4.7	Lower Columbia River Chinook Salmon	350
	10.4.8	Upper Willamette River Chinook Salmon	351
	10.4.9	Lower Columbia River Coho Salmon	352
	10.4.10	Southwest Washington Coho Salmon	353
10	5 Summar	y of Effects Analysis	354
10.	6 Literatui	e Cited	354
Part IV A	ppendices		
Appendix A	List of Spe	ecies	
Appendix B	Operations	s and Maintenance Addendum	
Appendix C	Historical	Hydrologic Data	
Appendix D	Modeled F	Hydrologic Data	
Appendix E	The Upper	Snake River MODSIM Model	

November 2004 – Final xi

# **List of Figures**

Figure	Pa	age
Figure 1-1.	Consolidated action areas for Reclamation's 11 proposed actions	4
Figure 2-1.	Action area and features and facilities for the proposed action in the Snake River system above Milner Dam	.16
Figure 2-2.	Action area and features and facilities for the proposed action in the Little Wood River system.	.17
Figure 2-3.	Action area and features and facilities for the proposed action in the Owyhee River system	.18
Figure 2-4.	Action areas and features and facilities for the proposed actions in the Boise River system and Payette River system	.18
Figure 2-5.	Action area and features and facilities for the proposed action in the Malheur River system.	.22
Figure 2-6.	Action area and features and facilities for the proposed action in the Mann Creek system.	.23
Figure 2-7.	Action area and features and facilities for the proposed action in the Burnt River system	.24
Figure 2-8.	Action areas and features and facilities for the proposed actions in the upper Powder River system and the lower Powder River system	.25
Figure 3-1.	Average monthly observed and estimated unregulated flow at the Snake River near Milner gage from 1928 to 2000 (observed from USGS, unregulated from MODSIM).	.32
Figure 3-2.	Average monthly observed and estimated unregulated flow at the Boise River at Lucky Peak gage from 1971 to 2000 (from USBR Hydromet data)	.33
Figure 3-3.	Average monthly observed and estimated unregulated flow at the Payette River at Horseshoe Bend gage from 1971 to 2000 (from USBR Hydromet data).	.33
Figure 3-4.	Modeled current conditions versus observed monthly average flows at the Snake River at Weiser gage (1990-2000)	
Figure 3-5.	Modeled results from current operations and the proposed actions for flows at the Snake River near Moran gage (near Jackson Lake Dam) from 1990 to 2000	.38
Figure 3-6.	Modeled results from current operations and the proposed actions	

xii Final – November 2004

Figure	Page
	for reservoir contents at American Falls Reservoir from 1990 to 2000
Figure 3-7.	Modeled results from current operations and the proposed actions for flows at the Snake River at Milner from 1990 to 200039
Figure 3-8.	Modeled results from current operations and the proposed actions for reservoir contents at Arrowrock Reservoir from 1990 to 200039
Figure 3-9.	Exceedance curve comparing the likelihood of providing annual flow augmentation volumes for the modeled current operations and proposed action scenarios
Figure 3-10.	Modeled flows at the Snake River at Blackfoot gage showing Current Operations versus Without Projects Operations for the years from 1990 to 2000
Figure 3-11.	Modeled flows at the Snake River at Milner gage showing Current Operations versus Without Projects Operations for the years from 1990 to 2000
Figure 3-12.	Modeled flows at the Payette River at Payette gage showing Current Operations versus Without Projects Operations for the years from 1990 to 2000
Figure 4-1.	The distribution of the four ESA-listed snails in the Snake River and Henrys Fork
Figure 4-2.	Reclamation's 2002 randomly located snail survey locations (Weigel 2003)
Figure 4-3.	Utah valvata locations from Reclamation's 2002 American Falls Reservoir snail surveys. The numbers at each point indicate the depth (in feet) at that point from full pool elevation (Weigel 2003)68
Figure 4-4.	Live and empty-shell Utah valvata collections in 2000 and 2004 from the spillway area below Minidoka Dam (Weigel unpublished, Minidoka Spillway; Newman unpublished)
Figure 4-5.	Locations of Reclamation's 2000, 2001, and 2002 survey transects from Jackson Bridge upstream to below American Falls Dam (Weigel 2002)
Figure 4-6.	Modeled summary hydrograph of American Falls Reservoir water surface elevations under the proposed action (see USBR 2004, Appendix B, for explanation of summary hydrographs)91
Figure 4-7.	Modeled summary hydrograph of streamflows at the Snake River at Neeley gage under the proposed action92
Figure 4-8.	Percent of Utah valvata habitat exposed at given American Falls Reservoir elevations, assuming a direct relationship between

November 2004 – Final xiii

Figure	Pag	e
	mortality and water surface elevation9	4
Figure 4-9.	Number of years in 72 years that American Falls Reservoir will be drafted to minimum elevation under the proposed actions for water conditions simulating the period of record from 1928 to 20009	4
Figure 4-10.	Modeled summary hydrograph of streamflows at the Snake River near Minidoka Dam gage under the proposed action. Lowest flows displayed on the hydrograph are 60 cfs in mid-March9	5
Figure 4-11.	Modeled summary hydrograph of streamflows at the Snake River at Milner gage under the proposed action (this gage is below the Idaho Power powerhouse at Milner Dam)9	8
Figure 4-12.	Snake River stage at King Hill under various salmon augmentation flow release strategies from Milner Dam. The yellow line most accurately portrays the proposed release strategy for the augmentation water. The red line represents river stage without augmentation	0
Figure 5-1.	Nesting bald eagle.	
Figure 6-1.	Known bull trout distributions in the watersheds associated with Reclamation facilities in the upper Snake River basin	1
Figure 6-2.	Distribution of bull trout within the North Fork Boise River watershed (Salow and Cross 2003)	3
Figure 6-3.	Distribution of bull trout within the Middle Fork Boise River watershed (Salow 2004d)	3
Figure 6-4.	Bull trout distribution from multiple-pass electrofishing surveys in 2003 in the Deadwood River basin (Salow 2004b)16	6
Figure 6-5.	Anderson Ranch Forebay elevations, 1990 through 200318	3
Figure 6-6.	Dissolved oxygen reservoir profiles 100 meters in front of Anderson Ranch Dam through a series of years	5
Figure 6-7.	Water temperature reservoir profiles 100 meters in front of Anderson Ranch Dam through a series of years	5
Figure 6-8.	Water temperatures in the Deadwood River downstream from Deadwood Dam	7
Figure 6-9.	Comparison of daily mean stream temperatures in mainstem rivers in the Boise and Payette River drainages	7
Figure 6-10.	Length frequency histogram for bull trout collected in Beulah Reservoir between 1994 and 2000 (Schwabe and Perkins 2003)18	9
Figure 6-11.	Exceedance curve for water storage at Beulah Reservoir from June through November, 1970 through 200319	3

xiv Final – November 2004

Figure	I	Page
Figure 6-12.	Exceedance curve showing the daily flow releases from Beulah Reservoir from February through June, 1961 to 2003	.195
Figure 6-13.	End-of-month Arrowrock Reservoir water surface elevations for actual operations from 1990 to 2000 and simulated proposed action operations.	
Figure 6-14.	Monthly average releases from Anderson Ranch Dam from 1994 to 2000 and the simulated proposed action for similar water conditions.	
Figure 6-15.	Monthly average Anderson Ranch Reservoir volume from 1991 to 2000 and simulated volume for the proposed action for similar water conditions (these include dead and inactive space)	
Figure 6-16.	Monthly average releases from Deadwood Dam from 1991 to 2000 and the simulated proposed action for similar water conditions	
Figure 6-17.	Monthly average Deadwood Reservoir contents from 1990 to 2000 and simulated contents for the proposed action for similar water conditions.	
Figure 8-1.	Known Ute ladies'-tresses locations in the upper Snake River basin.	.225
Figure 8-2.	Flowering Ute ladies'-tresses.	.226
Figure 8-3.	Comparison of modeled average monthly flows for current operations and the proposed action for the month of June at the Snake River near Heise gage	.235
Figure 8-4.	Comparison of modeled average monthly flows for current operations and the proposed action for the month of August at the Snake River near Irwin gage.	.236
Figure 8-5.	Comparison of actual operations and modeled proposed action average monthly flows for the month of June at the Snake River	.237
Figure 8-6.	Comparison of modeled average monthly flows for current operations and the proposed action for the month of June at the Snake River near Irwin gage.	.238
Figure 9-1.	Geographic range of the Snake River spring/summer Chinook salmon ESU	.255
Figure 9-2.	Geographic range of the Snake River fall Chinook salmon ESU	.262
Figure 9-3.	Historic and 2004 real time passage index for Snake River fall Chinook salmon subyearlings at Lower Granite Dam.	.270
Figure 9-4.	Passage index for Snake River fall Chinook salmon at Lower	

November 2004 – Final xv

Figure

Figure 9-5.	Geographic range of the Snake River sockeye salmon ESU	272
Figure 9-6.	Geographic range of the Snake River Basin steelhead ESU	276
Figure 9-7.	Geographic range of the Upper Columbia River spring Chinook salmon.	281
Figure 9-8.	Geographic range of the Lower Columbia River Chinook salmon	284
Figure 9-9.	Geographic range of the Upper Willamette River Chinook salmon ESU.	285
Figure 9-10.	Geographic range of the Upper Columbia River steelhead ESU	287
Figure 9-11.	Geographic range of the Middle Columbia River steelhead ESU	289
Figure 9-12.	Geographic range of the Lower Columbia River steelhead ESU	292
Figure 9-13.	Geographic range of the Upper Willamette River steelhead ESU	294
Figure 9-14.	Geographic range of the Columbia River chum salmon ESU	296
Figure 9-15.	Cumulative number of Ives Island chum salmon spawners from 1998 to 2003 (FPC 2004).	297
Figure 9-16.	Map showing 2003 salmon redd locations in the Ives Island area (FPC 2004).	298
Figure 9-17.	Map showing 2003 salmon redd locations in the Multnomah Falls area (FPC 2004).	298
Figure 9-18.	Map showing 2003 salmon redd locations in the Interstate 205 area (FPC 2004).	
Figure 10-1.	Map showing the nine 4 <sup>th</sup> field HUCs in the action areas	337
	List of Tables	
Table		Page
Table 3-1.	Average volume of water released from total storage in upper Snake River systems from 1990 to 2003	31
Table 3-2.	Likelihood of providing various volumes of salmon flow augmentation for the modeled current operations and the proposed action scenarios.	41
Table 3-3.	Seasonal flow objectives and planning dates for the Snake and	

Granite Dam. ......271

Page

xvi Final – November 2004

Columbia Rivers (from NOAA Fisheries 2000). ......41

Table	Page
Table 3-4.	Modeled Lower Granite Flows for the 10, 50, and 90 percent exceedance levels for the period of record from 1929 to 197843
Table 3-5.	Modeled McNary Flows for the 10, 50, and 90 percent exceedance levels for the period of record from 1929 to 197843
Table 3-6.	Federal storage and diversion facilities and associated actions to develop a "Without Projects Operations" scenario. 1
Table 3-7.	Modeled change of flow into Brownlee Reservoir for a dry (1992), average (1995), and wet (1997) year
Table 4-1.	Summary of locations and data sources for listed snails found during recent surveys
Table 4-2.	Summary of Orma J. Smith Museum of Natural History potential Snake River physa holdings as of June 22, 200460
Table 4-3.	Average mean monthly streamflows (cfs) at the Snake River near Idaho Falls and Snake River at Neeley gages for the period from 1987 to 2002
Table 4-4.	Summary data for 2002 Utah valvata snail habitat at the Neeley Reach (RM 711)71
Table 4-5.	Water quality monitoring data collected from American Falls Dam to Milner Dam82
Table 4-6.	Water quality monitoring data collected downstream from Milner Dam84
Table 5-1.	Bald eagle territories in the Idaho and Wyoming portions of Management Zone 18, Greater Yellowstone Ecosystem, in 2003117
Table 5-2.	Bald eagle breeding territory occupancy and production in the Snake River system above Milner Dam within the State of Idaho from 1996 to 2003
Table 5-3.	Bald eagle breeding territory occupancy and production in the Boise and Payette River systems from 1995 to 2003125
Table 6-1.	Description of work completed for the 1999 and 2001 biological opinions (USFWS 1999, 2001) in the Boise and Deadwood River watersheds
Table 6-2.	Description of work completed for the 1999 biological opinion (USFWS 1999) in the Malheur River watershed158
Table 6-3.	North Fork Boise River weir trap mark-recapture population estimates (Salow 2004c)
Table 6-4.	Bull trout redd counts in the North Fork Malheur River watershed from 1992 to 2003.

November 2004 – Final xvii

Table		Page
Table 8-1.	Recent Snake River basin Ute ladies'-tresses survey results	229
Table 9-1.	Listed anadromous salmonid species and ESUs considered	246
Table 9-2.	Traditional adult return reporting dates and dates used to classify Chinook salmon.	247
Table 9-3.	303(d) Listings and TMDL schedule for upper Snake River basin	
	reaches and major tributaries within areas affected by Reclamatio project operations.	
Table 9-4.	Spring and summer Chinook salmon counts at Bonneville, Ice Harbor, and Lower Granite Dams from 1977 to 2003 (FPC 2004).	258
Table 9-5.	Estimated adult wild spring/summer Chinook salmon escapement to Lower Granite Dam (includes total counts at Bonneville and Lower Granite Dams for comparison).	
Table 9-6.	Number of redds counted in several Snake River spring/summer Chinook salmon index streams (Yuen 2001, 2004)	261
Table 9-7.	Fall Chinook salmon counts at Bonneville, Ice Harbor, and Lower Granite Dams from 1977 to 2003 (FPC 2004)	
Table 9-8.	Fall Chinook salmon escapement and stock composition at Lower Granite Dam from 1975 to 2003 (CRITFC 2000; NOAA Fisheries 2003)	
Table 9-9.	Number of fall Chinook salmon redds counted in the Snake River and some tributaries between Lower Granite Reservoir and Hells Canyon Dam from 1986 to 2003 (USFWS et al. 2003) 1	
Table 9-10.	Adult Snake River sockeye salmon escapement counts	274
Table 9-11.	Total and wild steelhead counts at Bonneville, Ice Harbor, and Lower Granite Dams from 1977 to 2003 (FPC 2004, www.fpc.orgadult_history/adultsites.html)	
Table 9-12.	Total and A- and B-run wild and hatchery summer steelhead at Lower Granite Dam, brood years 1986 to 2003 (Kiefer 2004)	
Table 9-13.	Percent of wild and hatchery A- and B-run steelhead at Lower Granite Dam from 2000 to 2003.	280
Table 9-14.	Spring Chinook salmon counts at Rock Island Dam from 1977 to 2003 (FPC 2004).	283
Table 9-15.	Willamette Falls spring Chinook salmon counts (ODFW 2004)	286
Table 9-16.	Number of adult steelhead counted at Priest Rapids Dam from 198 to 2003 (FPC 2004).	
Table 9-17.	Estimate of abundance of Middle Columbia River steelhead ESU from 1977 to 2003 (FPC 2004).	291

xviii Final – November 2004

Table	Page
Table 9-18.	Willamette Falls steelhead counts from 2001 to 2002 (ODFW 2004)
Table 9-19.	Cumulative number of chum salmon spawners, 1998 to 2003, at Ives Island (about 3 miles downstream from Bonneville Dam), and number of redds counted in 2003 (FPC 2004)297
Table 9-20.	Number of chum salmon counted at Bonneville Dam from 1992 to 2003 (FPC 2004)
Table 9-21.	Peak emergence and peak catch dates for juvenile chum salmon downstream from Bonneville Dam from 1999 to 2003 (FPC 2004). 300
Table 9-22.	Ten percent exceedance in modeled monthly and combined monthly inflows to Brownlee Reservoir using water supply data from the 1928-to-2000 period of record (see Appendix E)303
Table 9-23.	Fifty percent exceedance in modeled monthly and combined monthly inflows to Brownlee Reservoir using water supply data from the 1928-to-2000 period of record (see Appendix E)303
Table 9-24.	Ninety percent exceedance in modeled monthly and combined monthly inflows to Brownlee Reservoir using water supply data from the 1928-to-2000 period of record (see Appendix E)304
Table 9-25.	Average modeled monthly and combined monthly inflows to Brownlee Reservoir using water supply data from the 1928-to-2000 period of record (see Appendix E)
Table 10-1.	Approximate HUC starting and ending points in the EFH action areas
Table 10-2.	Snake and Columbia River basin HUCs with designated Chinook and coho salmon EFH, ESU, and life history use (from Tables A-1 and A-6 in PFMC 1999)

November 2004 – Final xix

xx Final – November 2004